

October 7, 2004

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Room TW-325
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington D.C. 20554

Re: Unbundled Access to Network Elements, Review of the Section 251 Unbundling
Obligations of Local Exchange Carriers, WC Dkt. No. 04-313, CC Dkt. No. 01-338
Submission of Acknowledgements Concerning Compliance with Protective Order

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On behalf of the Association for Local Telecommunications Services and the companies listed below ("ALTS *et. al.*"), Time Warner Telecom, Inc. ("TWTC"), Conversent Communications ("Conversent") and Cbeyond Communications, LLC, ("Cbeyond") in accordance with the procedures outlined in the Protective Order adopted on August 20, 2004 in the above-referenced proceedings,¹ I am submitting herewith copies of the Acknowledgements of Confidentiality executed by David Don, Megan Stull, Grace Koh and Anna Sucin of Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP (WF&G), outside counsel and legal staff to ALTS *et. al.*, TWTC, Conversent and Cbeyond who will require access to confidential versions of the filings of Verizon, Qwest, BellSouth, SBC, AT&T and Sprint in the above referenced proceedings. A list of the parties from whom confidential materials are requested is attached. All of these parties, with the exception of Sprint, were already served on September 17, 2004 with a request for documents from Jonathan Lechter and Thomas Jones of WF&G as well as from Jason Oxman of ALTS.

Each of these parties has been separately served, via fax and overnight mail, with this letter and signed Acknowledgements of Confidentiality. If confidential information from additional parties is sought, they will be served with Acknowledgements of Confidentiality as well.

¹ See *Unbundled Access to Network Elements; Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers*, Order, DA 04-2603 (2004).

Please do not hesitate to call us at 202-303-1000 if any questions should arise in connection with this submission.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/
Jonathan Lechter*

WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP
1875 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 303-1000

ATTORNEYS FOR ASSOCIATION FOR LOCAL
TELECOMMUNICATIONS, TIME WARNER
TELECOM, INC. CONVERSENT
COMMUNICATIONS AND CBeyond
COMMUNICATIONS, LLC

*Admitted in Maryland only.

Jason Oxman
General Counsel
ALTS
888 17th Street, N.W.
12th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 969-2597

William A. Squires
Sr. Vice President
General Counsel
Blackfoot Communications, Inc.
1221 N. Russell Street
Missoula, MT 59808
(406) 541-5330

Julia O. Strow
Vice President
Cbeyond Communications
320 Interstate North Parkway
Suite 300
Atlanta, GA 30339
(678) 424-2429

Jane Delahanty
U.S. TelePacific Corp. DBA TelePacific Communications
515 S. Flower Street, 47th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2201
(213) 213-3000

J. Jeffrey Oxley
General Counsel
Russell C. Merbeth
Federal Counsel
Eschelon Telecom, Inc.
1200 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 955-9605

D. Anthony Mastando
Dir. and Assoc. Gen. Counsel
Choice One Communications Inc.
100 Chestnut Street, Ste. 600
Rochester, NY 14604
(285) 425-2309

Frederick S. Samp
General Counsel
Biddeford Internet Corporation d/b/a Great Works Internet
8 Pomerleau Street
Biddeford, ME 04005
(207) 286-8686 Ext. 136

William A. Squires
Sr. Vice President - General Counsel
Blackfoot Communications, Inc.
1221 N. Russell Street
Missoula, MT 59808
(406) 541-5330

John F. Sumpter
Vice President Regulatory
Pac-West Telecomm, Inc.
1776 March Lane
Stockton, CA 95207
(209) 926-3136

Wanda G. Montano
Vice President, Regulatory & Industry Affairs
US LEC Corp.
6801 Morrison Blvd.
Charlotte, NC 28211-3599
(704) 319-1074

Rainer Gawlick
EVP Marketing, Customer Relations, Regulatory Affairs
Lightship Telecom
One Executive Park Dr.
Bedford, NH 03110
(603) 314-2350

M. Gavin McCarty
Chief Legal Officer
Globalcom, Inc.
333 West Wacker, 15th Fl
Chicago, IL 60606
(312) 895-8873

N. Eric Jorgensen
President
Megagate Broadband, Inc.
6184 U.S. Highway 98 West
Hattiesburg, MS 39402
(601) 450-5000

Robert Turkel
Vice President of Legal/Regulatory and CLEC Operations
Broadriver Communication Corporation
1000 Hemphill Avenue
Atlanta, GA 30318
(404) 961-1003

Ray Russenberger
CEO
Network Telephone Corporation
3300 North Pace Boulevard
Pensacola, FL 32505
(850) 465-1302

Brian Chaiken
Executive Vice President Legal Affairs
Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc.
2620 S.W. 27th Ave.
Miami, FL 33133
(305) 476-4248

Stephen T. Perkins
General Counsel
Richard U. Stubbs
Counsel
Cavalier Telephone, LLC
1319 Ingleside Road
Norfolk, VA 23502
(757) 248-4160

Penny H. Bewick
Vice President - External Affairs
New Edge Network, Inc.
3000 Columbia House Blvd., Suite 106
Vancouver, WA 98661
(360) 906-9775

Scott Sawyer
Vice President and Counsel
Conversent Communications, LLC
24 Albion Road, Suite 230
Lincoln, Rhode Island 02865
(401) 490-6377

Matthew Feil
General Counsel
FDN Communications
2301 Lucien Way, Ste. 200
Maitland, FL 32751
(407) 835-0460

Jeremy L Katz
Chief Executive Officer
segTEL, Inc.
9 Landing Road
Enfield, NH 03748
(603) 643-5883

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	
Unbundled Access to Network Elements)	WC Docket No. 04-313
)	
Review of the Section 251 Unbundling)	CC Docket No. 01-338
Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange)	
Carriers)	

ORDER

Adopted: August 20, 2004

Released: August 20, 2004

By the Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau:

1. Today, the Commission released an Order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (Order and Notice) in the above-referenced dockets.¹ Among other things, that Order and Notice invites parties to comment on how the Commission might best craft permanent unbundling rules that respond to the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (D.C. Circuit) in *United States Telecom Ass'n v. FCC*,² which vacated and remanded aspects of the Commission's 2003 *Triennial Review Order*.³

2. We recognize that, as during the *Triennial Review* proceeding, parties to this proceeding might wish to rely on commercially sensitive business information in order to provide meaningful answers to some of the questions raised by today's Order and Notice, and may be reluctant to submit such proprietary or confidential information in the public record in the absence of a protective order. Because of the particular nature of the instant inquiry and the heightened concerns expressed by parties during the *Triennial Review* proceeding, which addressed issues closely related to those addressed by this proceeding, we believe that a protective order similar to the protective order adopted in that proceeding –

¹ See *Unbundled Access to Network Elements*, CC Docket No. 01-338, WC Docket No. 04-313, Order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (2004).

² 359 F.3d 554 (D.C. Cir. 2004) (*USTA II*), *pets. for cert. filed*, Nos. 04-12, 04-15, 04-18 (June 30, 2004). See also *United States Telecom Ass'n v. FCC*, No. 00-1012, Order, (D.C. Cir. Apr. 13, 2004) (granting a stay of the court's mandate through June 15, 2004) (*USTA II Stay Order*). The *USTA II* mandate issued on June 16, 2004.

³ *Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability*, CC Docket Nos. 01-338, 96-98, 98-147, Report and Order and Order on Remand and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 18 FCC Rcd 16978 (2003) (*Triennial Review Order*), corrected by Errata, 18 FCC Rcd 19020 (2003) (*Triennial Review Order Errata*), vacated and remanded in part, affirmed in part, *USTA II*, 359 F.3d 554.

which was itself modeled on similar orders utilized during license transfer proceedings – is appropriate here. Consequently, to ensure that any confidential or proprietary documents submitted by a party to the proceeding are afforded adequate protection, the Wireline Competition Bureau hereby adopts the attached Protective Order (see Appendix A), identical in all material respects to the order that remains in effect for WC Docket No. 01-338, for use in the new docket opened today, WC Docket No. 04-313.

3. Under the Protective Order, one copy of each document that a party (“Submitting Party”) claims is confidential or proprietary must be sent to Gary Remondino or Janice Myles, Competition Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington DC 20554. The documents should be accompanied by a cover letter stating “CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION – SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER IN CC DOCKET NO. 01-338 & WC DOCKET NO. 04-313.” Each page of the confidential or proprietary document (the “Confidential Filing”) should be stamped “CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION – SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER IN CC DOCKET NO. 01-338 & WC DOCKET NO. 04-313 BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION.” In addition, a Submitting Party must file with the Secretary’s Office one copy of the Confidential Filing and two copies of the Confidential Filing in redacted form (the “Redacted Confidential Filing”). The two copies of the Redacted Confidential Filing and their accompanying cover letters should be stamped “REDACTED – FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION.” The cover letter accompanying the Redacted Confidential Filing should state that the Submitting Party is filing a redacted version of such documents.

4. The Submitting Party shall make the confidential or proprietary documents available for review at the offices of the Submitting Party’s outside counsel or, if the Submitting Party has not retained outside counsel, at the offices of the Submitting Party’s in-house counsel. Parties reviewing these documents will be provided the following alternatives: (1) Parties will be provided adequate opportunity to inspect the documents on site; (2) Parties may inspect the documents on site with the ability to request copies, at cost, of all or some of the documents, other than those marked “Copying Prohibited” per paragraph 7 of the attached Protective Order; or (3) Parties may request a complete set of the documents at cost, other than those marked “Copying Prohibited,” allowing two days after the request is made for receipt of the copies. If a complete set of documents will be requested, parties are encouraged to make such requests at the time they submit the Acknowledgment of Confidentiality (see Appendix B). This will allow parties the opportunity to begin reviewing the documents at the end of the five-day period referenced in paragraph 8 of the Protective Order. All copies of documents that are removed from the Submitting Party’s counsel’s office will be stamped as described above in paragraph 3 and must be returned in accordance with the terms of the Protective Order.

5. Any party seeking access to confidential documents subject to the Protective Order shall request access pursuant to the terms of the Protective Order.

6. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that pursuant to Sections 4(i), 4(j) and 251 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 154(j) and 251, and Section 4 of the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4), and authority delegated under Section 0.291 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 0.291, the Protective Order IS ADOPTED, and is effective upon its release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Jeffrey J. Carlisle
Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau

APPENDIX A

Protective Order

CC Docket No. 01-338 & WC Docket No. 04-313

1. *Introduction.* Today, the Commission has released an Order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in the *Unbundled Access to Network Elements* docket (*Interim Order and Notice*).¹ We anticipate that parties commenting in this proceeding (individually, each a Submitting Party and collectively, the Submitting Parties) may need to rely on commercially sensitive business information or other confidential or proprietary information in order to provide meaningful answers to the questions raised by the *Interim Order and Notice* and that, therefore, such documents should be made available pursuant to a Protective Order. Consequently, the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) has adopted this Protective Order to ensure that any confidential or proprietary documents submitted by the Submitting Parties are afforded adequate protection. This Protective Order does not constitute a resolution of the merits concerning whether any confidential information would be released publicly by the Commission upon a proper request under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) or otherwise.

2. *Definitions.* As used herein, capitalized terms, not otherwise defined herein, shall have the following meanings:

“Confidential Information” means information contained in Stamped Confidential Documents (as defined in paragraph 4 of this Protective Order) or derived therefrom that is not otherwise available from publicly available sources;

“Counsel” means In-House Counsel and Outside Counsel of Record;

“In-House Counsel” means the attorney or attorneys employed by a party to these proceedings or who is employed by an affiliated entity and who are actively engaged in the conduct of this proceeding, *provided that* such counsel are not involved in competitive decision-making, *i.e.*, In-House Counsel’s activities, association, and relationship with a client are not such as to involve such counsel’s advice and participation in any or all of the client’s business decisions made in light of similar or corresponding information about a competitor; and

“Outside Counsel of Record” means the firm(s) of attorneys, or sole practitioner(s), as the case may be, representing a party in these proceedings.

3. *Use of Confidential Information.* Persons obtaining access to Confidential Information (including Stamped Confidential Documents) under this Protective Order shall use the information solely for preparation and the conduct of this proceeding as delimited in this paragraph and paragraphs 5, 10, and 11, and any subsequent judicial proceeding arising directly from this proceeding and, except as provided herein, shall not use such documents or information for any other purpose, including without limitation business, governmental, or commercial purposes, or in other administrative, regulatory or judicial proceedings. Should the Commission rely upon or otherwise make reference to the contents of

¹ See *Unbundled Access to Network Elements*, CC Docket No. 01-338, WC Docket No. 04-313, Order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (2004).

any of the Stamped Confidential Documents or Confidential Information in its decision in this proceeding, it will do so in the same manner in which it maintained the confidentiality of similar protected information in the *Bell Atlantic/NYNEX Order*, 12 FCC Rcd 19985 (1997).

4. *Non-Disclosure of Stamped Confidential Documents.* Except with the prior written consent of the Submitting Party, or as hereinafter provided under this Protective Order, neither a Stamped Confidential Document nor any Confidential Information may be disclosed by a reviewing party to any person other than the Commission and its staff. A "Stamped Confidential Document" shall mean any document, or part thereof, that bears the legend (or which otherwise shall have had the legend recorded upon it in a way that brings its attention to a reasonable examiner) "CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION – SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER IN CC DOCKET NO. 01-338 & WC DOCKET NO. 04-313 BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION" to signify that it contains information that the Submitting Party believes should be subject to protection under FOIA and the Commission's implementing rules, unless the Commission determines, *sua sponte* or by request pursuant to Sections 0.459 or 0.461 of its rules, that any such document is not entitled to confidential treatment. For purposes of this Protective Order, the term "document" means all written, recorded, electronically stored, or graphic material, whether produced or created by the reviewing party or another person.

5. *Permissible Disclosure.* Subject to the requirements of paragraph 8, Stamped Confidential Documents may be reviewed by Counsel. Subject to the requirements of paragraph 8, Counsel may disclose Stamped Confidential Documents and other Confidential Information to: (1) outside consultants or experts retained for the purpose of assisting Counsel in this proceeding, *provided that* the outside consultants or experts are not involved in the analysis underlying the business decisions of any competitor of any Submitting Party nor do they participate directly in those business decisions; (2) paralegals or other employees of such Counsel not described in clause 3 of this paragraph 5 assisting Counsel in this proceeding; (3) employees of such Counsel involved solely in one or more aspects of organizing, filing, coding, converting, storing, or retrieving documents or data or designing programs for handling data connected with this proceeding, or performing other clerical or ministerial functions with regard to documents connected with this proceeding; and (4) employees of third-party contractors performing one or more of the functions set forth in clause 3 of this paragraph 5. Individuals who have obtained access to Stamped Confidential Documents and Confidential Information in accordance with the provisions of this paragraph 5 and paragraph 8 may discuss and share the contents of the Stamped Confidential Documents and Confidential Information with any other person who has also obtained access in accordance with the provisions of this paragraph 5 and paragraph 8, and with the Commission and its staff.

6. *Protection of Stamped Confidential Documents and Confidential Information.* Persons described in paragraph 5 shall have the obligation to ensure that access to Stamped Confidential Documents and Confidential Information is strictly limited as prescribed in this Protective Order. Such persons shall further have the obligation to ensure that: (1) Stamped Confidential Documents and Confidential Information are used only as provided in this Protective Order; and (2) Stamped Confidential Documents are not duplicated except as necessary for filing at the Commission under seal as provided in paragraph 10 below.

7. *Prohibited Copying.* If, in the judgment of the Submitting Party, a document contains information so sensitive that it should not be copied by anyone, it shall bear the additional legend "Copying Prohibited," and no copies of such document, in any form, shall be made. Application for relief from this restriction against copying may be made to the Commission, with notice to Outside Counsel of Record for the Submitting Party.

8. *Procedures for Obtaining Access to Confidential Documents.* In all cases where access to Stamped Confidential Documents and Confidential Information is permitted pursuant to paragraph 5, before reviewing or having access to any Stamped Confidential Documents or Confidential Information, each person seeking such access shall execute the Acknowledgment of Confidentiality ("Acknowledgment") (see Appendix B) and file it with the Bureau, on behalf of the Commission, and serve it upon each Submitting Party through its Outside Counsel of Record so that the Acknowledgment is received by each Submitting Party at least five business days prior to such person's reviewing or having access to such Submitting Party's Stamped Confidential Documents or Confidential Information. Where the person seeking access is one described in either clause 3 or 4 of paragraph 5, the Acknowledgment shall be delivered promptly prior to the person's obtaining access. Each Submitting Party shall have an opportunity to object to the disclosure of Stamped Confidential Documents to any such persons. Any objection must be filed at the Commission and served on Counsel representing, retaining or employing such person within three business days after receiving a copy of that person's Acknowledgment (or where the person seeking access is one described in either clause 3 or 4 of paragraph 5, such objection shall be filed and served as promptly as practicable after receipt of the relevant Acknowledgment). Until any such objection is resolved by the Commission and, if appropriate, any court of competent jurisdiction prior to any disclosure, and unless such objection is resolved in favor of the person seeking access, persons subject to an objection from a Submitting Party shall not have access to Stamped Confidential Documents or Confidential Information. The Submitting Party shall make available for review the Stamped Confidential Documents of such party at the offices of such party's Outside Counsel of Record or, if the Submitting Party does not have Outside Counsel of Record, at the offices of such party's In-House Counsel.

9. *Requests for Additional Disclosure.* If any person requests disclosure of Confidential Information outside the terms of this Protective Order, requests will be treated in accordance with Sections 0.442 and 0.461 of the Commission's rules.

10. *Filings with the Commission.* Persons described in paragraph 5 may, in any documents that they file in this proceeding, reference Confidential Information, but only if they comply with the following procedure:

- a. Any portions of the pleadings that contain or disclose Confidential Information must be physically segregated from the remainder of the pleadings;
- b. The portions of pleadings containing or disclosing Confidential Information must be covered by a separate letter to the Secretary of the Commission referencing this Protective Order;
- c. Each page of any party's filing that contains or discloses Confidential Information subject to this order must be clearly marked: "Confidential Information included pursuant to Protective Order, CC Docket No. 01-338 & WC Docket No. 04-313"; and
- d. The confidential portion(s) of the pleading shall be served on the Secretary of the Commission, the Bureau, and each Submitting Party. Such confidential portions shall be served under seal, and shall not be placed in the Commission's public file. A party filing a pleading containing Confidential Information shall also file redacted copies of the pleading containing no Confidential Information, which copies shall be placed in the Commission's public files. Parties should not provide courtesy copies of pleadings containing Confidential Information to Commission Staff unless the Bureau so requests. Any courtesy copies containing Confidential Information shall be submitted under seal.

11. *Client Consultation.* Nothing in this order shall prevent or otherwise restrict Counsel from rendering advice to their clients relating to the conduct of this proceeding and any subsequent judicial proceeding arising therefrom and, in the course thereof, relying generally on examination of Stamped Confidential Documents or Confidential Information; *provided, however*, that in rendering such advice and otherwise communicating with such client, Counsel shall not disclose Stamped Confidential Documents or Confidential Information.

12. *No Waiver of Confidentiality.* Disclosure of Confidential Information as provided herein by any person shall not be deemed a waiver by any Submitting Party of any privilege or entitlement to confidential treatment of such Confidential Information. Reviewing parties, by viewing this material, agree: (1) not to assert any such waiver; (2) not to use Confidential Information to seek disclosure in any other proceeding; and (3) that accidental disclosure of Confidential Information by a Submitting Party shall not be deemed a waiver of any privilege or entitlement as long as the Submitting Party takes prompt remedial action.

13. *Subpoena by Courts, Departments or Agencies.* If a court, or a federal or state department or agency, issues a subpoena or orders production of Stamped Confidential Documents or Confidential Information that a party has obtained under terms of this Protective Order, such party shall promptly notify each Submitting Party of the pendency of such subpoena or order. Consistent with the independent authority of any court, department or agency, such notification must be accomplished such that the Submitting Party has a full opportunity to oppose such production prior to the production or disclosure of any Stamped Confidential Document or Confidential Information.

14. *Violations of Protective Order.* Should a person that has properly obtained access to Confidential Information under this Protective Order violate any of its terms, that person shall immediately convey that fact to the Commission and to the Submitting Party. Further, should such violation consist of improper disclosure of Confidential Information, the violating person shall take all necessary steps to remedy the improper disclosure. The Commission retains its full authority to fashion appropriate sanctions for violations of this Protective Order, including but not limited to suspension or disbarment of Counsel from practice before the Commission, forfeitures, cease and desist orders, and denial of further access to Confidential Information in this or any other Commission proceeding. Nothing in this Protective Order shall limit any other rights and remedies available to the Submitting Party at law or in equity against any person using Confidential Information in a manner not authorized by this Protective Order.

15. *Termination of Proceeding.* The provisions of this Protective Order shall not terminate at the conclusion of this proceeding. Within two weeks after conclusion of this proceeding and any administrative or judicial review, persons described by paragraph 5 shall destroy or return to the Submitting Party Stamped Confidential Documents and all copies of the same. No material whatsoever derived from Stamped Confidential Documents may be retained by any person having access thereto, except Counsel (as described in paragraph 5) may retain, under the continuing strictures of this Protective Order, two copies of pleadings (one of which may be in electronic format) containing Confidential Information. All Counsel shall make certification of compliance herewith and shall deliver the same to Counsel for the Submitting Party not more than three weeks after conclusion of this proceeding. The provisions of this paragraph 15 regarding retention of Stamped Confidential Documents and copies of same shall not be construed to apply to the Commission or its staff.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Jeffrey J. Carlisle
Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau

APPENDIX B

Acknowledgment of Confidentiality

CC Docket No. 01-338 & WC Docket No. 04-313

I hereby acknowledge that I have received and read a copy of the Protective Order in the above-captioned proceeding, and I understand it. I agree that I am bound by the Protective Order and that I shall not disclose or use Stamped Confidential Documents or Confidential Information except as allowed by the Protective Order. I acknowledge that a violation of the Protective Order is a violation of an order of the Federal Communications Commission.

Without limiting the foregoing, to the extent that I have any employment, affiliation or role with any person or entity other than a conventional private law firm (such as, but not limited to, a lobbying or public interest organization), I acknowledge specifically that my access to any information obtained as a result of the order is due solely to my capacity as Counsel or consultant to a party or other person described in paragraph 5 of the foregoing Protective Order and that I will not use such information in any other capacity nor will I disclose such information except as specifically provided in the Protective Order.

I hereby certify that I am not involved in "competitive decision-making" as that term is used in the definition of In-House Counsel in paragraph 2 of the Protective Order.

I acknowledge that it is my obligation to ensure that: (1) Stamped Confidential Documents and Confidential Information are used only as provided in the Protective Order; and (2) Stamped Confidential Documents are not duplicated except as specifically permitted by the terms of paragraph 10 of the Protective Order. I certify that I have verified that there are in place procedures, at my firm or office, to prevent unauthorized disclosure of Stamped Confidential Documents or Confidential Information.

Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Protective Order.

Executed at Washington, D.C. this 7 day of October, 2004

Megan Anne Stull

[Name] Megan Anne Stull

[Position] Associate

Admitted to Practice in Maryland

[Address] Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP

[Telephone] 1975 K Street, NW

Washington, DC 20009

(202) 303 1000

APPENDIX B

Acknowledgment of Confidentiality

CC Docket No. 01-338 & WC Docket No. 04-313

I hereby acknowledge that I have received and read a copy of the Protective Order in the above-captioned proceeding, and I understand it. I agree that I am bound by the Protective Order and that I shall not disclose or use Stamped Confidential Documents or Confidential Information except as allowed by the Protective Order. I acknowledge that a violation of the Protective Order is a violation of an order of the Federal Communications Commission.


Without limiting the foregoing, to the extent that I have any employment, affiliation or role with any person or entity other than a conventional private law firm (such as, but not limited to, a lobbying or public interest organization), I acknowledge specifically that my access to any information obtained as a result of the order is due solely to my capacity as Counsel or consultant to a party or other person described in paragraph 5 of the foregoing Protective Order and that I will not use such information in any other capacity nor will I disclose such information except as specifically provided in the Protective Order.

I hereby certify that I am not involved in "competitive decision-making" as that term is used in the definition of In-House Counsel in paragraph 2 of the Protective Order.

I acknowledge that it is my obligation to ensure that: (1) Stamped Confidential Documents and Confidential Information are used only as provided in the Protective Order; and (2) Stamped Confidential Documents are not duplicated except as specifically permitted by the terms of paragraph 10 of the Protective Order. I certify that I have verified that there are in place procedures, at my firm or office, to prevent unauthorized disclosure of Stamped Confidential Documents or Confidential Information.

Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Protective Order.

Executed at Washington, DC this 7 day of October, 2004


[Name] Daniel P. Pen
[Position] Attorney

[Address] 1875 H St, N.W. Washington, DC 20006
[Telephone] (202) 303-1133

APPENDIX B

Acknowledgment of Confidentiality

CC Docket No. 01-338 & WC Docket No. 04-313

I hereby acknowledge that I have received and read a copy of the Protective Order in the above-captioned proceeding, and I understand it. I agree that I am bound by the Protective Order and that I shall not disclose or use Stamped Confidential Documents or Confidential Information except as allowed by the Protective Order. I acknowledge that a violation of the Protective Order is a violation of an order of the Federal Communications Commission.

Without limiting the foregoing, to the extent that I have any employment, affiliation or role with any person or entity other than a conventional private law firm (such as, but not limited to, a lobbying or public interest organization), I acknowledge specifically that my access to any information obtained as a result of the order is due solely to my capacity as Counsel or consultant to a party or other person described in paragraph 5 of the foregoing Protective Order and that I will not use such information in any other capacity nor will I disclose such information except as specifically provided in the Protective Order.

I hereby certify that I am not involved in "competitive decision-making" as that term is used in the definition of In-House Counsel in paragraph 2 of the Protective Order.

I acknowledge that it is my obligation to ensure that: (1) Stamped Confidential Documents and Confidential Information are used only as provided in the Protective Order; and (2) Stamped Confidential Documents are not duplicated except as specifically permitted by the terms of paragraph 10 of the Protective Order. I certify that I have verified that there are in place procedures, at my firm or office, to prevent unauthorized disclosure of Stamped Confidential Documents or Confidential Information.

Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Protective Order.

Executed at Washington, D.C. this 6th day of October, 2004.

S. Anna Sucin, Communications Specialist

[Name]

[Position]

[Address]

[Telephone]

Wickie, Farr & Gallagher LLP
1875 K Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20008
(202) 303-1177

APPENDIX B

Acknowledgment of Confidentiality

CC Docket No. 01-338 & WC Docket No. 04-313

I hereby acknowledge that I have received and read a copy of the Protective Order in the above-captioned proceeding, and I understand it. I agree that I am bound by the Protective Order and that I shall not disclose or use Stamped Confidential Documents or Confidential Information except as allowed by the Protective Order. I acknowledge that a violation of the Protective Order is a violation of an order of the Federal Communications Commission.


Without limiting the foregoing, to the extent that I have any employment, affiliation or role with any person or entity other than a conventional private law firm (such as, but not limited to, a lobbying or public interest organization), I acknowledge specifically that my access to any information obtained as a result of the order is due solely to my capacity as Counsel or consultant to a party or other person described in paragraph 5 of the foregoing Protective Order and that I will not use such information in any other capacity nor will I disclose such information except as specifically provided in the Protective Order.

I hereby certify that I am not involved in "competitive decision-making" as that term is used in the definition of In-House Counsel in paragraph 2 of the Protective Order.

I acknowledge that it is my obligation to ensure that: (1) Stamped Confidential Documents and Confidential Information are used only as provided in the Protective Order; and (2) Stamped Confidential Documents are not duplicated except as specifically permitted by the terms of paragraph 10 of the Protective Order. I certify that I have verified that there are in place procedures, at my firm or office, to prevent unauthorized disclosure of Stamped Confidential Documents or Confidential Information.

Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Protective Order.

Executed at Washington, D.C. this 7 day of October, 2004


[Name] GRACE KOLT
[Position] ASSOCIATE
[Address] 1875 F ST NW
[Telephone] 202-303-1241

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jonathan Lechter, do hereby certify that on this 7th day of October, 2004, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing Acknowledgements by delivering copies thereof by overnight delivery and facsimile to the following:

<u>Verizon</u> Jennifer Hoh Verizon 1515 N. Courthouse Road 5 th Floor Arlington VA., 22201 Fax: 703-351-3662	<u>SBC</u> Kevin Walker Kellogg, Huber, Hanson, Todd & Evans, PLLC Sumner Square 1615 M St. N.W. Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20036-3209 Fax: 202-326-7999
<u>BellSouth Corporation</u> Anthony V. Jones Senior Paralegal BellSouth 1133 21st Street, Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20036 Fax: 202-463-4195	<u>Qwest</u> Christopher K. Calvert Wilkinson Barker Knauer, LLP 2300 N. St. N.W. Washington D.C. 20037-1128 Fax: 202-783-5851
<u>AT&T</u> David Petron Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP 1501 K Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 Fax: 202-736-8711	<u>Sprint</u> Jeb Benedict 401 9th Street NW, Suite 400 Washington DC 20004 Fax: 202-585-1897
Gary Remondino* Wireline Competition Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 12 th Street, S.W.	

/s/Jonathan Lechter

*via e-mail